## Case 5:10-mj-70192-MRGD Document 4 Filed 03/17/10 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## IA

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>CR-10 mi-70192</u> PV-7
"Adrian Ruig Murada Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention present, represented by his attorney M. Asauge The United States PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	es was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney 10. Pax 100
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviwhichever is later.	ending trial for a federal state or local offense and
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or confidence of any other person and the community.	
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the factors has committed an offense	cts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
A for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm duri	ng the commission of a felony.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or co appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.  No presumption applies.	mbination of conditions will reasonably assure the
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	<b>~</b> :,
/ / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence	e to rebut the applicable presumption 11/2 De
therefore will be ordered detained.	
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the	<del></del>
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE AND THE United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence of the states has proved to a preponderance of the evidence of the states has proved to a preponderance of the evidence of the states has proved to a preponderance of the evidence of the states has proved to a preponderance of the evidence	NORTHERK, U.S. D.W. WIFKING
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE	LE)
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence	ence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR	
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing eviden	ce that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS I	FOR DETENTION
/ <del>X/-</del> The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S the hearing and finds as follows: <b>When I have a limited and the hearing</b>	
	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Controlled substances and I involving so	146
There is also an immigration held or	Alm.
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written fi PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	ndings.
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or	his designated company to the Company
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or	serving sentences or being held in a serving sentences or being sentenc
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation	on with defense coursel. On order of a court of the
Jnited States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in	on the corrections facility shall deliver the
lefendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in con	nection with a court proceeding
	ce V. Dumball

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge